

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EARIZOSA CORPORATION CUMMISSION BEFORE

2 COMMISSIONERS

KRISTIN K. MAYES - Chairman
GARY PIEDCE

GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN AZ CORP COMMISSION DÖCKET CONTROL

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

BOB STUMP

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF FAR WEST WATER & SEWER COMPANY FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF

ITS SEWER UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY AND FOR INCREASES IN ITS RATES.

Arizona Comoration Commission DOCKETED

JAN 27 2009

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. WS-03478A-08-0454

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On August 29, 2008, Far West Water & Sewer Company ("Far West" or "Company") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") an application for a rate increase for its sewer utility service.

By Procedural Order dated December 12, 2008, the matter was set for hearing to commence on July 29, 2009, and a procedural schedule for the filing of testimony was established.

On December 19, 2008, Far West filed an emergency application for the immediate implementation of interim rates for sewer service, which rates, if granted, would be effective until a decision has been issued on the Company's application for permanent rate increase See Docket No. WS-03478A-08-00608.

On January 12, 2009, the Commission's Utilities Division ("Staff") filed a Response to the Emergency Rate Application in Docket No. WS-03478A-08-0608 and a Motion to Suspend Time Clock in this docket. Staff states that it cannot process both cases at the same time. recommended that if the Company wishes to proceed with the emergency application, that the time clock for the permanent rate application be suspended.

On January 20, 2009, Far West filed a "Motion to Consolidate; Combined Response to Staff's Motion to Suspend Time and Request for Scheduling Order." Far West filed its Request in both the emergency and permanent rate case dockets. The Company sought to have the request for interim emergency rates consolidated with the pending permanent rate case; argued against suspending the

time clock on the permanent rate case beyond the time it would take to complete the hearing on the interim application; and proposed a schedule for processing the interim request and the permanent rate application.

On January 21, 2009, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed a "Response to Staff's Request to Suspend the Time Clock and the Company's Response." RUCO recommended that if the Commission proceeds with the emergency case, that the time clock in the permanent case be suspended, and further, that after completion of the mandated capital improvements and twelve months of operating data, the Company be required to update its permanent rate application using a more appropriate test year.

The interim emergency case and permanent rate case, although related, involve distinct factual inquiries and separate legal standards, and in an effort to prevent a confusion in the two records and maintain the proper focus in each case, the matters will not be consolidated. Furthermore, the time clock in the permanent case will be suspended pending a final order in the emergency interim proceeding. Not only is processing two rate cases simultaneously an undue burden on resources, it is imperative that the parties have knowledge of the outcome of the interim proceeding prior to making recommendations in the permanent rate case. The Company opted to file its interim request and must face the reality that the consequence of that choice is that the permanent rate case cannot be processed until the conclusion of the interim proceeding. Following a final order in Docket No. WS-03478A-08-0608, a Procedural Conference will be convened to re-establish filing deadlines and schedule a hearing on the permanent request. We do not adopt RUCO's suggestion to require an updated test year in the permanent case at this time, but may revisit the recommendation depending on the resolution of the emergency proceeding.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the time clock is suspended and the procedural schedule, including the hearing date, as set forth in the December 12, 2008 Procedural Order, is vacated pending further Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **public comment meeting** set for March 5, 2009 in Yuma, **shall take place as scheduled**, and shall also include comments related to the emergency request.

rate cases is denied. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that following a Commission Decision in Docket No. WS-

03478A-08-0608, the Hearing Division shall convene a Procedural Conference in this matter to reestablish a procedural schedule.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) continues to apply to this proceeding and shall remain in effect until the Commission's Decision in this matter is final and non-appealable.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this 26 Mday of January, 2009.

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

Copies of the foregoing mailed this 21 day of January, 2009 to:

Jay L. Shapiro Fennemore Craig, PC 3003 Norht Central Avenue, Suite 2600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2913 Attorneys for Far West Water & Sewer

Daniel W. Pozefsky Chief Counsel Residential Utility Consumer Office 1110 West Washington, Suite 220 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Janice Alward, Chief Counsel LEGAL DIVISION **Arizona Corporation Commission** 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Ernest Johnson, Director Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Arizona Reporting Service, Inc. 2200 N. Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1481